391 ENV task group Teleconference June 26 2014

This document is the property of NSF International (NSF), part of the NSF standards development process and is for NSF Committee purpose only. Unless given prior approval from NSF, it shall not be reproduced, circulated, or quoted, in whole or in part, outside of NSF

Secretariat read attendance and antitrust statement.

Action Items:

Brennan – will revise the prerequisite language for the supply chain group

Everyone – if anyone is interested in joining one of the four subcommittees, please email Harry Lewis and Jessica Slomka (Lewis.Harry@epa.gov and jslomka@nsf.org)

Meeting Summary:

GHG emissions, energy, water and wastewater, soil and groundwater

Credits:

Balloted Credits: 6.2, 6.5, 6.5.1, 6.5.2, 6.5.5, 6.5.6, 6.6.2, 6.7.1, 6.7.2

5.1.6, 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 6.12, 6.13, 6.15

*Gail Dunn, Johanna Kertesz, Tamar Krantz and Heather Burns

Discussion: Jessica provided an update and shared the comment document provided by Gail. There was no further discussion.

Materials and equipment and Materials

Credits: 5.1.4, 5.1.5, 6.10, 6.11, 6.17

*Brennan Conaway, Priscilla Halloran, and Wendy Shafer

Discussion: Priscilla withdrew from the group. The group was unclear if Wendy is still participating. Brennan confirmed he will continue with the group and Harry will try to help.

Management environmental results, EMS, land use (etc).

Credits: 5.1.2, 5.1.3, 6.2, 6.3, 6.14, 6.16 *Alan France, Emily Pugliese, Jake Feeley

Discussion: None of the group members were present for the call. No update.

Supply Chain management, etc.

Credits: 6.4, 6.8, 6.9, 6.18

*Harry Lewis, Tamar Krantz, Charles Franklin, and Johanna Kertesz

Discussion: Harry reviewed the proposed perquisites with the group. This focuses on tier 1 suppliers only. There may be additional criteria added to addresses suppliers beyond tier 1. Harry asked Brennan if this would align with GSA's goals. Brennan indicated this does align with GSA's IOLCA process. It was indicated that this is a good approach to identify "hot spots". Charles asked what is the minimum level of due diligence for an organization to understand its

SF 391 SOC task group Teleconference August 20 2013

This document is the property of NSF International (NSF), part of the NSF standards development process and is for NSF Committee purpose only. Unless given prior approval from NSF, it shall not be reproduced, circulated, or quoted, in whole or in part, outside of NSF

supply chain. The prerequisite should not be too prescriptive or difficult that organizations do not support the standard. The group struggled with a workable metric and how to get spend data. Brennan confirmed that the government does not require reporting under \$3,000. Harry stated that determining the cutoff is not dependent on the threshold, but instead we are looking at the top 5 or 10 product categories. The current categories in the language are based on SSI. Harry asked the group if they were supportive of focusing on service spend. Priscilla noted that some big spend items may not have as large of an impact. Charles agreed and indicated that the group struggled with whether there are better metrics the group could use. Tamara has been working with the IOLCA modeling program by Carnegie Melon; this modeling software confirms that economic reporting does not always provide the same results. Priscilla does not support this as a prerequisite. Brennan agreed that this criteria may be too rigorous. Harry asked what the group should put in as a prerequisite if this is too rigorous. It was suggested that the prerequisite focus on "What are you spending a majority of your money on?". The goal of this prerequisite is to set the stage as a building block to eventually align with IOLCA. Tamar asked if anyone thought that organizations would hesitate to conform with this standard if they had to disclose their spending habits. Charles clarified that this prerequisites is no asking for public disclosure. The only individual that would see this information would be the auditor.

Harry asked what should be included in the prerequisite section. Johanna suggested dollar spend for a certain percentage of their SSI for prerequisites. She suggested moving bullet C into the additional points criteria. Brennan noted that they could reword based on GSA research "75% of our impacts for 68% of our spend". The group agreed to move bullet C to the additional points section and focus the prerequisite on cataloging the spend.

Meeting Att	endees	S	preac	lsl	nee	t

Company	Name 👨	Interest Category	Role
US General Services Administration	Brennan Conaway	Public Health / Regulatory	Member
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld, LLP	Charles Franklin	Industry	Member
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Priscilla Halloran	Public Health / Regulatory	Member
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	Johanna Kertesz	Public Health / Regulatory	Member
Labat Environmental, Inc	Tamar Krantz	Industry	Member
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Harry Lewis	Public Health / Regulatory	Group Chair
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	Jeff Olsen	General Interest	Observer
NSF International	Jessica Slomka	General Interest	Secretariat

391 ENV task group Teleconference June 26 2014

This document is the property of NSF International (NSF), part of the NSF standards development process and is for NSF Committee purpose only. Unless given prior approval from NSF, it shall not be reproduced, circulated, or quoted, in whole or in part, outside of NSF